Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/065,934	SUGAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sam Bhattacharya	2687
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Sam Bhattacharya.	(3)	
(2) <u>Andrew Floam</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 June 2005.		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2)∏ applicant's represent	ative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>16 and 20</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Cummings (US 6,546,261)</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	□ was not reached. h)	□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that an Exalimitations similar to the limitations added to claim 16 in the condition for allowance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no conditions are considered.	miner's Amendment ame 4/4/05 Amendment would ments which the examine	ending claim 20 to recite ad place the application in ar agreed would render the claims
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse significant statements.	CTION MUST INCLUDE last Office action has alre THE MAILING DATE OF DF THE SUBSTANCE OF	eady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
	,	
,		
Functions Note: Voy moved aims this forms waters it is		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	signature, if required